REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)

2. REPORT DATE May 2004 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED

Annual Summary (1 May 2003 - 30 Apr 2004)

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Modifiers of the Efficacy of Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy for the Prevention of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations 5. FUNDING NUMBERS
DAMD17-03-1-0375

6. AUTHOR(S)

Noah D. Kauff, M.D.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center New York, New York 10021

E-Mail: kauffn@mskcc.org

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

20041021 069

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)

The principal investigator was funded via a Physician-Scientist Training Award to participate in a comprehensive training plan to foster the transition to independent clinical breast cancer researcher, This plan included 1) conduct of a prospective study examining modifiers of the efficacy of risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy for the prevention of breast and ovarian cancer in carriers of BRCA mutations; and 2) participation in coursework in research methodology, biostatistics, molecular biology, and ethics.

Progress from 5/1/2003 - 4/30/2004 includes: 1) Accrual at a greater than expected rate to the planned research study; 2) Participation in a clinical cancer research methods course with production of a new research proposal for the Gynecologic Oncology Group; 3) Coauthored manuscript examining prostate cancer risk of men with BRCA mutations; and 4) Conducted a pilot study suggesting that women from BRCA-negative hereditary breast cancer families are not at increased risk for ovarian cancer.

14. SUBJECT TERMS

BRCA1, BRCA2, Breast cancer, ovarian cancer, prophylactic surgery

15. NUMBER OF PAGES 31

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF THIS PAGE
Unclassified

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Unclassified NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Unclassified

Unlimited

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

Award Number: DAMD17-03-1-0375

TITLE: Modifiers of the Efficacy of Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy for the Prevention of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Noah D. Kauff, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

New York, New York 10021

REPORT DATE: May 2004

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Summary

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Introduction	4
Body:	
Progress on Research Project Component of Award	4
Progress on Didactic Training Component of Award	5
Progress of Other Training Partly Supported by This Award	6
Key Research Accomplishments	6
Reportable Outcomes	7
Conclusions	7
References	7
Appendices	
A) Revised Clinical Follow-up Questionnaire	8
B) Publication Supported in Part by this Award	27
C) Abstract/Presentation Supported in Part by this Award	24

Introduction

The principle investigator was funded beginning on May 1, 2003 by the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program via a Physician-Scientist Training Award (PTSA) to participate in a comprehensive training plan designed to assist the principal investigator in making the transition from junior faculty member to independent clinical breast cancer researcher. There were two chief components of the plan. The first component was the conduct of a prospective research study entitled, "Modifiers of the Efficacy of Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy for the Prevention of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Carriers of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* Mutations," under the direction and mentorship of Kenneth Offit, M.D., M.P.H. The second component of the comprehensive training plan was for the principal investigator to participate in formal coursework in research methodology, biostatistics, methods of molecular biology, and ethics of clinical research. This progress report will summarize progress and accomplishments made as well as difficulties and challenges encountered during the first year of this award that ran from May 1, 2003 through April 30, 2004.

1) Progress on Research Project Component of Award

The principal investigator in concert with a multidisciplinary team at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) reported the first prospective evaluation of the role of salpingo-oophorectomy in reducing the risk of both breast cancers and *BRCA*-related gynecologic (ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal) cancers in carriers of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations. In that study, we demonstrated that risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy (RRSO) is associated with a decreased combined incidence of breast and *BRCA*-related gynecologic cancer. While these results were encouraging, there were important limitations in that preliminary data that need to be addressed before this surgical procedure becomes integrated into the routine management of all carriers of *BRCA* mutations.

First, it is not at all clear that all women with BRCA mutations share the same cancer risks. The current study will address the biologically plausible possibility that women with BRCA2 mutations may not derive the same preventive benefit following oophorectomy as women with BRCA1 mutations. Data pertaining to this issue may be important for the development of tailored risk-reduction strategies for women with BRCA mutations. Second, it is also not clear that surgery will necessary improve mortality due to breast or ovarian cancer. Prospective information addressing the actual effect of RRSO on subsequent cancer-specific mortality is critically needed in order that women with BRCA mutations can make informed decisions regarding the risks and benefits of preventive surgery. Third, determining the specific risk reduction conferred by RRSO for the prevention of specific types of cancer is an important unanswered question for many women with BRCA mutations considering the procedure. The only data currently available on this issue is retrospective with a potential for substantial bias.

In order to address some of these issues, with the assistance of the PSTA, we are conducting a prospective study to: 1) determine the degree of protection conferred by RRSO for the prevention of subsequent breast and BRCA-related gynecologic cancer in a) carriers of BRCA1 mutations and b) carriers of BRCA2 mutations; 2) determine the effect of RRSO on cancer-specific mortality in carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations; and 3) determine the effect in carriers of BRCA mutations of RRSO on the incidence of a) subsequent breast cancer and b) subsequent BRCA-related gynecologic cancer.

Briefly we are ascertaining women with a *BRCA1* or a *BRCA2* mutation, greater than 35 years of age, who have not previously undergone bilateral oophorectomy, who have undergone genetic counseling at MSKCC from June 1, 2003 through May 30, 2007 and have consented to prospective follow-up. (Accrual for this study began June 1, 1995.) Uptake of RRSO or use of ovarian surveillance is being determined for all participants by annual questionnaire, telephone contact, and medical record review. Follow-up is planned through May 30, 2008. The time to cancer or time to cancer-specific mortality will be analyzed

for each of the specific aims using Kaplan-Meier analysis and a Cox proportion hazards model. Total estimated accrual through April 30, 2004 was 310 participants with ovarian tissue at risk and 238 participants with both breast and ovarian tissue at risk. To date accrual is exceeding expectations with 327 (105% of expected) participants with ovarian tissue at risk and 278 (117% of expected) participants with both breast and ovarian tissue at risk accrued through April 30, 2004.

Specific components of the statement of work for June 2003 – May 2004 relevant to the research component of the training award:

a) June 2003 - July 2003 - Training of Genetic Counselor (dedicated to the project) to obtain and enter follow-up information

This was completed as scheduled. Yelena Kemel, M.S is a genetic counselor trained and funded for 50% of her effort via this award to obtain and enter follow-up information.

b) June 2003 - Sept 2003 - Review and revision of follow-up questionnaires

This was completed as scheduled. The follow-up instrument used for this study was completely revised to capture: 1) detailed information regarding current cancer screening and prevention practices including risk-reducing surgical and chemo-preventive approaches; 2) information regarding any new cancers diagnosed in the participant since the participant's initial evaluation by Clinical Genetics; 3) information regarding any new cancer diagnosed in 1st or 2nd degree relatives since the participant's initial evaluation by Clinical Genetics; and 4) information designed to address reasons for adherence or non-adherence to screening recommendations. This questionnaire was piloted in the summer and fall of 2003 on a group of women from BRCA-negative hereditary breast cancer families who had consented to prospective follow-up. After changes were made as a result of this pilot use, additional modifications were made resulting in the final document that is included in appendix A.

 c) Oct 2 003 - Dec 2 003 - Submission of revised questionnaires to MSKCC Institutional Review Board

This was completed as scheduled with IRB approval of the revised documents obtained November 11, 2003.

d) April 2004 - May 2004 - 1st Interim Data Analysis

This data analysis is currently in progress. In order to optimize our ability to follow-up both responders and non-responders in our cohort, the cohort is broken down into four groups based upon the quarter in which they received results. Annual follow is obtained for one of each of these four sub-cohorts each quarter. We have now collected data using our revised follow-up instrument for three of these four sub-cohorts. When data is received from the last sub-cohort this summer, we will conduct a preliminary analysis for each of our three specific aims.

2) Progress of Didactic Training Component of Award

Part of the time freed by the PSTA is being used by the Principal Investigator to participate in workshops offered by American Association for Cancer Research and the American Society of Clinical Oncology. The Principal Investigator participated in the first of these workshops, Methods in Clinical Cancer Research, in July 2003. This was 38.5 hour course designed to introduce clinical fellows and junior faculty the principles of good clinical trial design, expose early clinical scientists to the full spectrum of

challenges in clinical research, and develop well trained, experienced researchers whose expertise will foster better clinical trial design. As part of this workshop, the PI further developed a concept and wrote a protocol for a, "Prospective Cohort Study of Gynecologic Cancer Screening and Risk-Reducing Surgery in Women with Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colon Cancer Syndrome (HNPCC)" This protocol has been approved by the Gynecology Oncology Group for further development, and is currently on the priority protocol list of that cooperative group.

Part of the time freed by the PSTA is also to be used by the Principal Investigator to participate in formal coursework in the Clinical Epidemiology and Health Services Research Program at Weill Graduate School of Medical Sciences of Cornell University (WGSMS). These courses will include Introduction to Research Methodology and Statistical Analysis, Advanced Biostatistics, the regularly scheduled Research Methodology Colloquia, and Ethics of Clinical and Health Services Research. Although it was envisioned that participation in this coursework would occur last fall, due to the timing of notification of the award and changes in the offering dates of these courses, the PI was unable to participate in the courses as originally anticipated. In fulfillment of the requirements of the training award, the PI will be participating in these courses this upcoming summer and fall.

3) Progress of Other Training Partly Supported by This Award

A) Determination of Risk of Prostate Cancer in Male Carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations

The PI was a co-first author of a study led by Kenneth Offit, M.D., M.P.H. that showed that the risk for prostate cancer is significantly elevated in men who carry *BRCA2* mutations. This study confirmed than men with *BRCA2* mutations have a 4.8 fold increased risk of prostate cancer compared to the general population. This was published as a featured article in the May 1, 2004 edition of Clinical Cancer Research. (Reprint is attached in Appendix B.)

B) Pilot A nalysis of Risk of Ovarian C ancer in women from BRCA-negative hereditary breast cancer families

Using time freed up by the PSTA, the PI conducted a pilot study examining the incidence of breast and ovarian cancer in 171 women from *BRCA*-negative hereditary breast cancer families who were prospectively followed for a mean of 3.6 years. Observed rate of cancer was compared with that expected from the SEER database. In this analysis, as expected, new breast cancer cases were seen more frequently than would be predicted from population rates. Importantly, ovarian cancer was not seen more frequently than would be expected in the general population. If these preliminary results are confirmed, this information will have important implications for cancer screening in these kindreds. This data has been accepted for presentation at the 2004 Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. (Presentation is attached in Appendix C.)

Key Research Accomplishments

- Accrual at a greater than expected rate to the study, "Modifiers of the Efficacy of Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy for the Prevention of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations."
- Participation in AACR/ASCO course Methods in Clinical Cancer Research with production of a
 working draft of a protocol for the Gynecologic Oncology Group entitled, "Prospective Cohort
 Study of Gynecologic Cancer Screening and Risk-Reducing Surgery in Women with Hereditary
 Non-Polyposis Colon Cancer Syndrome (HNPCC)."

- Co-authored a study confirming that men with *BRCA2* mutations are at significantly increase risk of prostate cancer.
- Completed a pilot study suggesting that women from BRCA-negative hereditary breast cancer families are not at increased risk of ovarian cancer.

Reportable Outcomes

- Co-authored a study confirming that men with BRCA2 mutations are at significantly increase risk
 of prostate cancer.¹
- Completed a pilot study suggesting that women from *BRCA*-negative hereditary breast cancer families are not at increased risk of ovarian cancer.²

Conclusions

With the support of the PTSA, the principle investigator is participating in a comprehensive training plan designed to assist him in making the transition from junior faculty member to independent clinical breast cancer researcher. Additionally, time freed by the PTSA has allowed the principal investigator to pursue several productive avenues of research addressing cancer risks in individuals from inherited breast and ovarian cancer families. It is anticipated that continued support from the PTSA will continue to further the principal investigator's development and a bility to become an effective and highly productive clinical breast cancer researcher.

References

¹ Kirchhoff T[†], Kauff ND[†], Mitra N, Nafa K, Huang H, Palmer C, Gulati T, Wadsworth E, Donat S, Robson ME, Ellis NA, Offit K. *BRCA* Mutations and Risk of Prostate Cancer in Ashkenazi Jews. <u>Clinical Cancer Research</u> 2004; 10: 2918-21.

² Kauff N, Cigler T, Hurley K, Huang H, Rapaport H, Wadsworth E, Robson M, Norton L, Barakat R, Offit K. Incidence of ovarian cancer in *BRCA*-negative hereditary breast cancer families. Accepted for presentation at the 40th Annual Meeting of the <u>American Society of Clinical Oncology</u>, New Orleans, LA, June 2004.

[†] T. Kirchoof and N. Kauff contributed equally to this report.





MEMORIAL SLOAN-KETTERING CANCER CENTER Clinical Genetics Service Female Follow-up Questionnaire

Important Note: The past several years have been an exciting time of progress in the research efforts of the Clinical Genetics Service. Your responses to our questionnaires have provided important information about risk of cancer in individuals with a family history of the disease, and also on the effects of various risk-reducing strategies. Articles based on these results have been published in the New England Journal of Medicine, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Cancer, and Journal of the National Cancer Institute. Summaries of this research are available on our web site at http://www.mskcc.org/mskcc/html/603.cfm

To take our work to the next level, we have identified several important clinical questions which require more detailed medical follow-up information to obtain a full answer. Therefore, we have created a new, comprehensive medical follow-up questionnaire. In some cases you may see questions that we have asked before, but with expanded options for responses. As much as possible, we have converted our questions to a "check box" format for easy, rapid responding. We also ask some new questions on topics related to screening, medication use, and new cancers in family members. Please fill out the enclosed questionnaire as completely as possible, thinking back to when you were first seen at Clinical Genetics. This will help us ensure that our records are both complete and up-to-date. Feel free to provide us with comments and feedback so that we can continue our efforts to provide state-of-the-art, scientifically sound genetic counseling services.

Clinical Genetics Service

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center
1275 York Avenue-Box 295, New York, NY
Telephone: 212-434-5149 Fax: 212-434-5166



New Cancers Since	Your Initial C	Clinical Genetics Visi	<u>t</u>	
1) Since you were seen at Clinical Genetics have you had any cancer diagnoses?		s on <u>(CGS to Fill in)</u> ,		Name (CGS to Fill in) Today's Date / /
	☐ Yes ☐ No (If no, pl	ease skip to Question #8	, page 4)	Date of Birth/_/
2) If you have been diag diagnosis.	nosed with cancer	since we last saw you, p	ease indicat	e the type of cancer, age, and date of the
Diagnosis 1:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary or Fall ☐ Colon	lopian Tube	Lung Melai Other	
Age of diagnos	is:		Date di	agnosis:/
	☐ New Cancer	☐ Recurrence of Prior	Cancer [Not Sure
Diagnosis 2:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary of Fall ☐ Colon	lopian Tube	Lung Melar Other	noma , (please specify):
Age of diagnosi	is:		Date di	agnosis:/
	☐ New Cancer	☐ Recurrence of Prior	Cancer [J Not Sure
Since your initial Clin. • BREAST CANO • OVARIAN or F • COLON or REO	ical Genetics visi CER, please answ FALLOPIAN TUE CTAL CANCER, pe of cancer, pleas	it, if you have been diag fer Question #3, pages 1- BE CANCER, please ans please answer Question se answer Questions #6 a	mosed with 2 wer Questi #5, page 3	on #4, <i>page 2</i>
detected? I fe My I he I he I he my doc	elt a mass doing browd doctor felt a mass ad an abnormal scrad an abnormal scrad an abnormal scrad both an abnormator ordered further ad both an abnormator ordered further ordered further	east self-examination and so during a clinical breast eleening mammogram (with reening breast ultrasound freening Breast MRI (with all screening mammogram rests.	my doctor of xamination and the control of the cont	and ordered further tests. oms) and my doctor ordered further tests. nptoms) and my doctor ordered further tests. as) and my doctor ordered further tests. t ultrasound (without symptoms) and st MRI (without symptoms) and
b) If you have b Breast Cancer o	-	h Breast Cancer since yo	u were last s	seen at Clinical Genetics, what side was the
☐ Rigi	ht	☐ Left	☐ Both S	Sides



			ce you were last seen at Clinical Genetics, how was the cancer
1	treated? (Please indicate	e all that apply)	
	☐ Mastectomy		÷
	Lumpectomy	•	
	Chemotherap	y, indicate regimen	
	Tamoxifen	Date Started	Are you still taking?
		11 110, Date Stopped	
	Raloxifene	Date Started	Are you still taking?
		If No, Date Stopped	<u> </u>
	Aromatase Ir	hibitor (ie. Anastrozole (Arim	idex™), Letrozole (Femara™))
		Specific Drug	Are you still taking?
		Date Started	Are you still taking?
		If No, Date Stopped	
	Radiation the	rapy	
	Other, (pleas	e specify):	
	d) If you have been diagr	osed with Breast Cancer sind	e you were last seen at Clinical Genetics, what was your
		time of your diagnosis? (Pleas	
		ating regularly every 3-6 wee	
		irregular menstrual flows	
		a menstrual cycle in the previ	ous 2-6 months
		a menstrual cycle in over 6 m	
		sly undergone a natural menor	
			or radiation therapy induced menopause at age
		sly had my ovaries removed a	
	i nad previou	sly had my uterus removed at	age
i P	I had sympto I had an abnormal I had an abnormal I had an abnormal I had an abnormal I had both are ordered further to Other, (please) If you have been diagrams was the cancer treated Surgery (hystochemotherap)	osed with Ovarian or Fallopited? oms from the cancer (ie. bloating ormal pelvic ultrasound (with cormal CA-125 blood test (a turn urther tests. of a bnormal pelvic ultrasound A ests. or specify): nosed with Ovarian or Fallopited? (Please indicate all that apperectomy and/or oophorectomy, indicate regimen	y)
	Radiation the		
	D Other, (piease	e specify):	
c v	what was your menopaus: I was menstru I was having : I had not had I had not had	osed with Ovarian or Fallopi al state at the time of your diag acting regularly every 3-6 weel irregular menstrual flows a menstrual cycle in the previous a menstrual cycle in over 6 mostly undergone a natural menop	ous 2-6 months.
	☐ I had previous	sly undergone a chemotherapy	or radiation therapy induced menopause at age
	☐ I had previous	sly had my ovaries removed at	age
	I had previous	sly had my uterus removed at a	age



5) New Colon or Rectal Cancer

a) If you have been diagnosed with a Colon of Rectal Cancer since you were last seen at Chinical Genetics, now was
the cancer detected?
I noticed a mucous discharge and my doctor ordered further tests
I noticed a change in bowel habits and my doctor ordered further tests.
I had abdominal pain and/or bloating and my doctor ordered further tests.
☐ I noticed rectal bleeding and my doctor ordered further tests.
My doctor detected blood in my stool during a rectal exam and ordered further tests.
My doctor felt a mass in my rectum on a digital rectal exam and ordered further tests.
I underwent a screening colonoscopy (an exam of my entire colon without having had any previous
symptoms) and my doctor detected a cancer.
I underwent a screening sigmoidoscopy (an exam of part of my colon without having had any previous
symptoms) and my doctor detected a cancer.
Other, (please specify):
b) If you have been diagnosed with a <u>Colon or Rectal Cancer</u> since you were last seen at Clinical Genetics, how was the colorectal cancer treated? (<i>Please indicate all that apply</i>)
Single colon surgery
☐ Multiple colon surgeries
Chemotherapy, please indicate regimen:
Radiation
Other, (please specify):
If you have been diagnosed with a Lung Cancer, Melanoma or Any Other Cancer since you were last seen at inical Genetics, how was the cancer detected?
How was this Lung Cancer, Melanoma or Any Other Cancer treated?





New Cancers in Relatives

- 8) Since you were last seen at Clinical Genetics, has any <u>Close Relative (Parent, Grand Parent, Brother, Sister, Child, Grand Child, Aunt, Uncle or First Cousin)</u> had a NEW cancer diagnosis?
 - ☐ Yes (If yes, please answer Question #9)
 - ☐ No (If no, please skip to Question #10, page 5)

9) If a <u>Close Relative</u> was diagnosed with cancer since we last saw you, please indicate the type of relative, the type of cancer, and the age of the diagnosis.

		Relation		Type of Cancer		
Relative #1	☐ Mother ☐ Father ☐ Brother ☐ Sister ☐ Son ☐ Daughter ☐ Grandchild	☐ Maternal Grandmother ☐ Maternal Grandfather ☐ Paternal Grandmother ☐ Paternal Grandfather	☐ Maternal Aunt ☐ Maternal Uncle ☐ Paternal Aunt ☐ Paternal Uncle ☐ Maternal First Cousin ☐ Paternal First Cousin ☐ Other:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary/Fallopian Tube ☐ Colon ☐ Prostate ☐ Lung ☐ Melanoma ☐ Uterus ☐ Other	Age of Diagnosis: New Cancer Recurrence of Prior Cancer Not Sure	
Relative #2	☐ Mother ☐ Father ☐ Brother ☐ Sister ☐ Son ☐ Daughter ☐ Grandchild	☐ Maternal Grandmother ☐ Maternal Grandfather ☐ Paternal Grandmother ☐ Paternal Grandfather	☐ Maternal Aunt ☐ Maternal Uncle ☐ Paternal Aunt ☐ Paternal Uncle ☐ Maternal First Cousin ☐ Paternal First Cousin ☐ Other:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary/Fallopian Tube ☐ Colon ☐ Prostate ☐ Lung ☐ Melanoma ☐ Uterus ☐ Other	Age of Diagnosis: New Cancer Recurrence of Prior Cancer Not Sure	
Relative #3	☐ Mother ☐ Father ☐ Brother ☐ Sister ☐ Son ☐ Daughter ☐ Grandchild	☐ Maternal Grandmother ☐ Maternal Grandfather ☐ Paternal Grandmother ☐ Paternal Grandfather	☐ Maternal Aunt ☐ Maternal Uncle ☐ Paternal Aunt ☐ Paternal Uncle ☐ Maternal First Cousin ☐ Paternal First Cousin ☐ Other:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary/Fallopian Tube ☐ Colon ☐ Prostate ☐ Lung ☐ Melanoma ☐ Uterus ☐ Other	Age of Diagnosis: New Cancer Recurrence of Prior Cancer Not Sure	
Relative #4	☐ Mother ☐ Father ☐ Brother ☐ Sister ☐ Son ☐ Daughter ☐ Grandchild	☐ Maternal Grandmother ☐ Maternal Grandfather ☐ Paternal Grandmother ☐ Paternal Grandfather	☐ Maternal Aunt ☐ Maternal Uncle ☐ Paternal Aunt ☐ Paternal Uncle ☐ Maternal First Cousin ☐ Paternal First Cousin ☐ Other:	☐ Breast ☐ Ovary/Fallopian Tube ☐ Colon ☐ Prostate ☐ Lung ☐ Melanoma ☐ Uterus ☐ Other	Age of Diagnosis: New Cancer Recurrence of Prior Cancer Not Sure	





10) <u>Medication Questions</u>
Please complete the following chart. Questions on the top row refer to the specific medications listed in the left-most column.

	Since being seen at Clinical Genetics, have you started taking or are you still taking this medication on a regular basis (more than one time per week)?	Why were you or are you taking this medication? (Check all that apply)	If you are taking the medication, how likely is it that you will continue taking it in the next 6 months?	If you have never taken the medication, are you considering taking the medication in the future?
a) Hormone Replacement with estrogen or progesterone (ie. Premarin, Prempro, Estrace, Provera, etc.)	yes no Type (specify brand): Date started / / Date ended / /	☐ Hot flashes or night sweats ☐ Vaginal dryness ☐ Prevention or treatment of osteoporosis ☐ Prevention of heart disease ☐ Prevention of dementia ☐ Other:	☐ 1 = Not at all☐ 2 = A little bit☐ 3 = Moderately☐ 4 = Very☐ 5 = Extremely	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely ☐ 6 = If my doctor tells me to
b) Oral Contraceptives	☐ yes ☐ no Date started/_/ Date ended/_/ ☐ Still taking	☐ Prevention of pregnancy ☐ Regulation of menstrual cycle ☐ Painful or heavy menses ☐ Prevention of ovarian cancer ☐ Other:	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely ☐ 6 = If my doctor tells me to
c) Tamoxifen (Nolvadex TM)	Date started/_/ Date ended/_/ Still taking	☐ Treatment of breast cancer ☐ Prevention of breast cancer ☐ Prevention or treatment of osteoporosis ☐ Other:	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely ☐ 6 = If my doctor tells me to
d) Raloxifene (Evista TM)	Date started/_/ Date ended/_/ Still taking	☐ Treatment of breast cancer ☐ Prevention of breast cancer ☐ Prevention or treatment of osteoporosis ☐ Other	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely ☐ 6 = If my doctor tells me to
e) Anti- inflammatory medications (ie. Aspirin, Aleve, Motrin, Naprosyn, Ibuprofren, Celebrex, Vioxx etc.)	☐ yes ☐ no Type (specify brand): ———————————————————————————————————	☐ Arthritis ☐ Prevention of colon cancer ☐ Prevention of heart disease ☐ Painful or heavy menses ☐ Other:	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely ☐ 6 = If my doctor tells me to





Breast Cancer Screening

11) Have you EVER had a mastectomy (s Clinical Genetics?	surgical removal of one o	r both breasts) either before or after being seen at					
	☐ No ☐ Yes, I have had a <u>unilateral</u> mastectomy (removal of ONE breast). ☐ Yes, I have had a <u>bilateral</u> mastectomy (removal of BOTH breasts) If yes, please skip to Question #18, page 11						
12) Mammograms							
a) How many mammograms have y Never had a mammogr		year 🗖 One 🗖 Two 🔲 Three or more					
b) When was your last mammogram Never had a mammogram In the last six months Between six months ar	ram	☐ Between one and two years ago ☐ More than two years ago					
c) What was the reason for your la Never had a mammogra Routine screening or ch Lump in your breast	am	Pain in your breast Other, (please specify):					
d) Since being seen by Clinical G mammograms, X-rays, ultrasounds		abnormal Mammogram that required follow-up s, or surgery?					
☐ Yes	☐ No						
e) If you have had an abnormal N	Mammogram that required	d follow-up since being seen by Clinical Genetics,					
When did this abnormal re	esult occur? (mm/yr)/						
What was the abnormal re	sult?	Other, (please specify): Don't Know / Not Sure					
What was done?	☐ Repeat Mammogram ☐ Ultrasound ☐ MRI ☐ Other, (please specify,	☐ Needle Aspiration ☐ Stereotatic Biopsy ☐ Biopsy in Operating Room :					
Was a Cancer diagnosed?	☐ Yes	□ No					
f) If your last mammogram was not for routine screening or check-up? Never had a screening or In the last six months Between six months an	mammogram	check-up, when was your last mammogram that was just Between one and two years ago More than two years ago					
13) Breast MRI							
a) How many Breast MRIs have you Provided a Breast MR		ear One Two Three or more					



b) WI	nen was your last Breast Mi Never had a Breast M In the last six months Between six months	MRI s	ear ago		Between one an More than two y		ago
c) Wł	nat was the reason for your l Never had a Breast M Routine screening or Lump in your breast	IRI			Pain in your bre Other, (please sp		
	ce being seen by Clinical Cograms. X-rays, ultrasounds					I that requir	red follow-up
	☐ Yes	☐ No					
e) If	you have had an abnormal	Breast M	IRI that required for	ollov	v-up since being	seen by Cl	inical Genetics,
	When did this abnormal	result occ	ur? (mm/yr)/_				
	What was the abnormal i	result?	☐ Mass ☐ Calcification ☐ Cyst			(please spec Know / Not	
	What was done?	☐ Man ☐ Ultra	eat MRI nmogram nsound r, (please specify):		Needle Aspiration Stereotatic Biops Biopsy in Opera	sy ting Room	
	Was a Cancer diagnosed	?	☐ Yes	0	No		
f) If y routin	vour last Breast MRI was no e screening or check-up? Never had a screening In the last six months Between six months	g Breast M	⁄IRI	eck-	_	n one and tv	wo years ago
14) Breast U	ltrasound						
a) Ho	ow many Breast Ultrasounds Never had a Breast Ul		had in the last ye None in the l		vear 🗖 One	☐ Two	☐ Three or more
b) W	hen was your last Breast Ul Never had a Breast U In the last six months Between six months a	ltrasound			Between one and More than two y		ago
c) Wh	at was the reason for your la Never had a Breast U Routine screening or Lump in your breast	ltrasound	Ultrasound?		Pain in your brea Other, <i>(please sp</i>		
	nce being seen by Clinical (nograms, X-rays, ultrasound					r asound tha	t required follow-up
	☐ Ves	□ No					



	e) If yo	ou have had an abnormal E	Breast Ul	trasound that req	uirec	ed follow-up since being seen by Clinical Genetic	i,
		When did this abnormal r	esult occ	ur? (mm/yr)/			
		What was the abnormal re	esult?	☐ Mass ☐ Calcification ☐ Cyst	ı	Other, (please specify): Don't Know / Not Sure	
		What was done?	☐ Man			Needle Aspiration Stereotatic Biopsy Biopsy in Operating Room	
		Was a Cancer diagnosed?		☐ Yes	0	J No	
				ıst routine screeni	ng oi	or check-up, when was your last Breast Ultrasound	tha
	was jus	for routine screening or characteristics. Never had a screening. In the last six months. Between six months a	Breast L			Between one and two years ago More than two years ago	
15) C	linical Bı	east Examinations (Ex	aminati	on by a physici	an))	
	a) How	many Clinical Breast Exam Never had a Clinical F None in the last year		am	_	ear? Three Four or more	
	b) Whe	n was your last Clinical Bi Never had a Clinical E In the last three month Between three and six	Breast Ex	am		Between six months and one year ago Between one and two years ago More than two years ago	
	c) Wha	t was the reason for your la Never had a Clinical E Routine screening or c Lump in your breast	Breast Ex			Pain in your breast Other, (please specify):	
		ce being seen by Clinical (grams, X-rays, ultrasounds				normal Clinical Breast Exam that required follow- r surgery?	up
		☐ Yes	☐ No				
	e) If you	have had an abnormal Cl	inical Br	east Exam that re	equir	ired follow-up since being seen by Clinical Geneti	cs,
		When did this abnormal re	esult occi	ur? (mm/yr)/_			
		What was the abnormal re	sult?	☐ Mass ☐ Nipple Disch ☐ Skin Change	arge	Other, (please specify): Don't Know / Not Sure	
		What was done?	☐ Ultra ☐ MRI			Needle Aspiration Stereotatic Biopsy Biopsy in Operating Room	
		Was a Cancer diagnosed?		☐ Yes		J No	



	f) If your last Clinical Breast Exam was not just routine screening or of Exam that was just a routine screening or check-up? Never had a Clinical Breast Exam In the last three months Between three and six months ago					Between six months and one year ago Between one and two years ago More than two years ago
l6) Sel	f Breast Ex	amination				
		en have you done Self E I am not doing Breast S More than Once a Mon Every Month Every Other Month	elf Exan	last year Months onths nths	·?	
		d you last do Self Brea: I do not perform Self E In the last month Between one and three	Breast Ex	ams	☐ Betw	veen three and six months ago veen six months and one year ago e than one year ago
					lity on Self Breast Examination that required nds, CT scans, MRIs, biopsies, or surgery?	
	□	Yes	□ No			
	d) If you hav	ve had an abnormal Se	lf Breast	t Examination tha	t require	d follow-up since being seen by Clinical
	Wh	en did this abnormal re	sult occu	ur? (mm/yr)/_		
	Wh	at was the abnormal res	☐ Mass☐ Nipple Discharge☐ Skin Change		Other, (please specify): Don't Know / Not Sure	
	Wh				ı	 □ Needle Aspiration □ Stereotatic Biopsy □ Biopsy in Operating Room □ Other, (please specify):
	Wa	s a Cancer diagnosed?		☐ Yes	□ No	





17) <u>Future Plans for Breast Cancer Screening</u>
Please fill in the chart below. Questions in the top row refer to specific screening modalities in the left-most column.

	When are you planning to have your next test?	How likely are you to have the test by that time?
a) Mammogram	in the next three months in the next six months in the next year I'm planning on having a mammogram, but I'm not sure when. I'll have a mammogram when my doctor wants me to. I'm not sure when to go for my next mammogram. I'm undecided whether I'll have another mammogram. I've decided not to have another mammogram.	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely
b) Breast MRI	☐ in the next three months ☐ in the next six months ☐ in the next year ☐ I'm planning on having a breast MRI, but I'm not sure when. ☐ I'll have a breast MRI when my doctor wants me to. ☐ I'm not sure when to go for my next breast MRI. ☐ I'm undecided whether I'll have another breast MRI. ☐ I've decided not to have another breast MRI.	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely
c) Breast Ultrasound	 in the next three months in the next six months in the next year I'm planning on having a breast ultrasound, but I'm not sure when. I'll have a breast ultrasound when my doctor wants me to. I'm not sure when to go for my next breast ultrasound. I'm undecided whether I'll have another breast ultrasound. I've decided not to have another breast ultrasound. 	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely
d) Clinical Breast Examination (examination by a physician)	☐ in the next three months ☐ in the next six months ☐ in the next year ☐ I'm planning on having a clinical breast exam, but I'm not sure when. ☐ I'll have a clinical breast exam when my doctor wants me to. ☐ I'm not sure when to go for my next clinical breast exam. ☐ I'm undecided whether I'll have another clinical breast exam. ☐ I've decided not to have another clinical breast exam.	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely
e) Self Breast Examination	☐ in the next month ☐ in the next three months ☐ in the next six months ☐ in the next year ☐ I'm planning on doing a self breast exam, but I'm not sure when. ☐ I'll do a self breast exam when my doctor wants me to. ☐ I'm not sure when to do my next self breast exam. ☐ I'm undecided whether I'll do another self breast exam. ☐ I've decided not to do another self breast exam.	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely



Prophylactic Breast Surgery Information

18) Have you EVER had one or both breasts removed prophylactically, (for risk reduction, not for treatment of cancer)	?
☐ No (If no, please skip to Question #20, page 12) ☐ Yes	
a) I have had a prophylactic mastectomy (surgical removal of one or both breasts to prevent cancer) Left Breast Right Breast Both Breasts	
b) When did you have prophylactic mastectomy? Date:/ Before being seen at Clinical Genetics After being seen at Clinical Genetics Don't remember / Not Sure	
c) At the time of the prophylactic mastectomy: I had a reconstruction with saline implants I had a reconstruction with silicone implants I had a reconstruction with a TRAM flap I had a reconstruction with a Latissimus Dorsi flap I had another kind of reconstruction (please specify) I did not have reconstruction	
How many nights did you spend in the hospital	
Were there any complications?	
19) Satisfaction with Prophylactic Mastectomy What is your level of satisfaction with your decision to undergo prophylactic mastectomy? Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied or dissatisfied Satisfied Very satisfied	



(If you still	re Plans for Breast Cancer Risk Reduction Il have ONE or BOTH breasts, please select one of the che	pices below, A-G, that best describes your plans for
risk reduct	tion. Otherwise, please skip to Question #21)	
0	A) I am not planning to have prophylactic mastectomy.	
	B) I have not given prophylactic mastectomy much though	tht.
0	C) I have scheduled a prophylactic mastectomy on: Date Left Breast Right Breast	E://Both Breasts
0	D) I plan to have a prophylactic mastectomy: in the next three months in the next six months in the next year	
0	E) I plan to have a prophylactic mastectomy after: I reach the age of yea I finish childbearing (in about yea Other event (please specify):	rs) (in aboutyears)
0	F) I would plan to have a prophylactic mastectomy, BUT If I develop breast cancer in one breast If my doctor tells me I should Other reason, (please specify):	
0	G) I am considering having a prophylactic mastectomy by Extremely strongly Strongly Moderately Slightly	ut I have no definite plans. I am considering mastectomy:
<u>Ovarian (</u>	Cancer Screening	
21) Have yo Clinical Ge	you EVER had an oophorectomy (surgical removal of one enetics?	e or both ovaries) either before or after being seen at
	No Yes, I have had one ovary removed for treatment or risk red Yes, I have had both ovaries removed for treatment or risk re	
22) Transv	vaginal Ultrasound	
a) 1	How many transvaginal ultrasounds have you had in the last Never had a transvaginal ultrasound None in	st year? the last year
b) `		☐ Between one and two years ☐ More than two years ago
с)	 Routine screening or check-up Pain in your belly Bloating/constipation/other discomfort 	at apply) High number on a blood test (CA-125) Repeat test because previous abnormal ultrasound Fertility treatment Physician felt a mass during a pelvic exam Other, (please specify):



	☐ Yes	☐ No		
e) If	you have had an abnormal	Fransvaginal Ultra	sound since being	seen by Clinical Genetics,
	When did this abnormal	result occur? (mm	/yr)/_	
	What was the abnormal	result?	Ovarian Cyst Ovarian Mas Calcification Fibroids	S Other, (please specify):
	What was done?	☐ Repeat Ultra ☐ MRI ☐ CA-125 Blo ☐ CT Scan ☐ Laparoscopy ☐ Hysterectom	od test	☐ Endometrial Biopsy in the Office ☐ D & C ☐ Hysteroscopy ☐ Other, (please specify): ☐ zero ☐ one or ☐ two ovaries ☐ zero ☐ one or ☐ two ovaries
	Was a Cancer diagnosed			- cond D one of D two ovaries
was ju 23) CA-125 E	St for routine screening or one of the Never had a transvague of the In the last six months. Between one and two	check-up? ginal ultrasound for years sts have you had in 125 blood test	routine screening	or check-up, when was your last ultrasound that or check-up Between six months and one year More than two years ago
	None in the last year	blood test	☐ Three or more	
b) Wh	nen was your last CA-125 H Never had a CA-125 In the last six months Between six months	Blood Test		veen one and two years than two years ago
c) Wh	at was the reason for your l Never had a CA-125 Routine screening or Pain in your belly Bloating/constipation	Blood Test check-up	☐ Phys ☐ Repe ☐ Abno	hat apply) ician felt a mass during a pelvic exam eat test because of prior abnormal CA-125 ormal ultrasound r, (please specify):
d) Sin rays, u	ce being seen by Clinical (Genetics have you or surgery?	had an abnormal	CA-125 Blood Test that required follow-up x-
	☐ Yes	□ No		



	ave had an abnormal CA-125 Blood Test since being seen by Clinical Genetics, hen did this abnormal result occur? (mm/yr)/	
WI	hat was done? Repeat CA125 Blood test Ultrasound D & C MRI Hysteroscopy CT Scan D ther, (please specify): Hysterectomy with removal of zero one or two ovan	ries
Wa	as a Cancer diagnosed?	
that was jus	ast CA-125 Blood Test was not just a routine screening or check-up, when was your t a routine screening or check-up? Never had a CA-125 Blood Test for routine screening or check-up In the last six months Between one and two years Gor Ovarian Cancer Screening t below. Questions in the top row refer to specific screening modalities in the left-negative.	and one year s ago
	When are you planning to have your next test?	How likely are you to have the test by that time?
a) Transvaginal Ultrasound	☐ in the next three months ☐ in the next six months ☐ in the next year ☐ I'm planning on having a transvaginal ultrasound, but I'm not sure when. ☐ I'll have a transvaginal ultrasound when my doctor sends me for one. ☐ I'm not sure when to go for my next transvaginal ultrasound. ☐ I'm undecided whether I'll have another transvaginal ultrasound. ☐ I've decided not to have another transvaginal ultrasound.	☐ 1 = Not at all ☐ 2 = A little bit ☐ 3 = Moderately ☐ 4 = Very ☐ 5 = Extremely
b) CA-125 Blood Test	in the next three months	☐ 1 = Not at all

in the next year

☐ I'm planning on having a CA-125 Blood Test, but I'm not sure when.

☐ I'll have a CA-125 Blood Test when my doctor sends me for one.

☐ I'm not sure when to go for my next CA-125 Blood Test.
☐ I'm undecided whether I'll have another CA-125 Blood Test.

I've decided not to have another CA-125 Blood Test.

 \square 2 = A little bit

 \square 3 = Moderately

 \Box 5 = Extremely

☐ 4 = Very





Prophylactic Oophorectomy Information

25) Have you ever had one or both ovaries removed prophylactically, (for risk reduction, <u>not</u> for treatment of cancer)?					
☐ No (If no, please skip to Question #27, page 16) ☐ Yes					
a) I have had a prophylactic oophorectomy Left Ovary Right Ovary Both Ovaries					
This surgery was done:					
b) When did you have prophylactic oophorectomy? Date:// Before being seen at Clinical Genetics After being seen at Clinical Genetics Don't remember / Not Sure					
c) At the time of the removal of the ovaries: My Uterus was Removed My Uterus was Left In My Uterus had been previously removed					
How many nights did you spend in the hospital 0 0 1 0 0 Other					
Were there any complications?					
d) At the time of my prophylactic oophorectomy: I was menstruating regularly every 3-6 weeks I was having irregular menstrual flows I had not had a menstrual cycle in the previous 2-6 months. I had previously undergone a natural menopause at age I had previously undergone a chemotherapy or radiation therapy induced menopause at age I had previously had my uterus removed at age					
26) Satisfaction with Prophylactic Oophorectomy What is your level of satisfaction with your decision to undergo prophylactic oophorectomy? Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither satisfied or dissatisfied Satisfied Very satisfied					





	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(If you still	e Plans for Ovarian Cancer Risk Reduction have ONE or BOTH ovaries, please select one of the choices below, A-G, that best describes your plans for Otherwise, please skip to Question #28)
0	A) I am not planning to have prophylactic oophorectomy.
o	B) I have not given prophylactic oophorectomy much thought.
٥	C) I have scheduled a prophylactic oophorectomy on: Date:// Left Ovary
0	D) I plan to have a prophylactic oophorectomy in the next three months in the next six months in the next year
0	E) I plan to have a prophylactic oophorectomy after: I reach the age of I finish childbearing (in about years) Other event, (please specify): (in about years)
0	F) I would plan to have a prophylactic oophorectomy, BUT ONLY: If I develop breast cancer If my doctor tells me I should Other reason, (please specify):
o	G) I am considering having a prophylactic oophorectomy but I have no definite plans. I am considering oophorectomy: Compared the plant of the pla
28) <u>Mens</u>	rual Status
Plea	se describe your current menstrual status: I am menstruating regularly every three-six weeks I am having irregular menstrual flows I have not had a menstrual cycle in the previous two-six months. I have not had a menstrual cycle in over six months I have undergone a natural menopause at age I have undergone a chemotherapy or radiation therapy induced menopause at age I have had a prophylactic oophorectomy as noted above

☐ I have had my ovaries removed for other reasons at age _____

☐ I have had my uterus removed for other reasons at age





29) Colon Cancer Screening

		oscopies have you had d a colonoscopy	l in the last Five yea r I None II One	s? Two Three or	r more	
	lever ha	ast Colonoscopy? d a Colonoscopy t year one and two years ago	☐ More th	n two and five years an five years ago		
	Never ha Routine Pain in y Bloating	son for your last Color ad a Colonoscopy screening or check-up your belly /constipation/other dis by Clinical Genetics,	scomfort C	Doctor felt a mass du Rectal Bleeding The doctor found blo Other, (please specify	ood in your stool	
-,		Yes	□ No	у.		
e) If you hav	e had an	abnormal Colonosco	py since being seen t	y Clinical Genetics,		
Whe	n did th	is abnormal result occ	ur? (mm/yr)/			
Wha	t was do	one?	na 🗀	Virtual Coloscopy Laparoscopy Exploratory Surgery Other, (please specify	<i>י)</i> :	
Was	a Cance	er diagnosed?	☐ Yes ☐	No		
for routine scr	eening lever ha the las	or check-up? d a Colonoscopy		More than two years More than five years a	ago but less than five y	_
0 h 0 h 0 r 0 r 0 r	the next the	aning to have your nex ext three months ext six months ext year ext two to five years aning on having a Colora a Colonoscopy when no ear when to go for my cided whether I'll have ded not to have anothe	noscopy, but I'm not a ny doctor sends me fo next Colonoscopy. e another Colonoscop	r one.		
h) How likel	y are yo	u to have a Colonosco	py by that time?			
Not at a	11	2 A little bit	3 Moderately	4 Very	5 Extremely	

IRB	#.	96	051	A	11	2)
IKD	#:	70-	・レンエ	A	1	Э1

**Alishto ``	Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center IRB Protocol	
Additional Comments:		,

Thank you for taking the time to answer this questionnaire. Your participation is greatly appreciated. If you have any questions please feel free to contact the Clinical Genetics Service at (212) 434-5149.

Featured Article

BRCA Mutations and Risk of Prostate Cancer in Ashkenazi Jews

Tomas Kirchhoff,¹ Noah D. Kauff,¹ Nandita Mitra,² Kedoudja Nafa,¹ Helen Huang,¹ Crystal Palmer,¹ Tony Gulati,¹ Eve Wadsworth,¹ Sheri Donat,³ Mark E. Robson,¹ Nathan A. Ellis,¹ and Kenneth Offit¹

¹Clinical Genetics Service, Department of Medicine, ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, and ³Urology Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York

Abstract

Purpose: The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium and other family-based ascertainments have suggested that male carriers of BRCA mutations are at increased risk of prostate cancer. Several series looking at the frequency of BRCA mutations in unselected patients with prostate cancer have not confirmed this finding. To clarify this issue, we conducted a large case-control study.

Experimental Design: Blood specimens from 251 unselected Ashkenazi men with prostate cancer were screened for the presence of one of the three common Ashkenazi founder mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. The incidence of founder mutations was compared with the incidence of founder mutations in 1472 male Ashkenazi volunteers without prostate cancer using logistic regression analysis after adjusting for age.

Results: Thirteen (5.2%) cases had a deleterious mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 compared with 28 (1.9%) controls. After adjusting for age, the presence of a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation was associated with the development of prostate cancer (odds ratio, 3.41; 95% confidence interval, 1.64–7.06; P=0.001). When results were stratified by gene, BRCA2 mutation carriers demonstrated an increased risk of prostate cancer (odds ratio, 4.78; 95% confidence interval, 1.87–12.25; P=0.001), whereas the risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers was not significantly increased.

Conclusions: BRCA2 mutations are more likely to be found in unselected individuals with prostate cancer than age-matched controls. These results support the hypothesis

that deleterious mutations in BRCA2 are associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer.

Introduction

Early reports from the Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium and other family-based ascertainments suggested that families with deleterious mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 had an increased number of prostate cancers compared with families without known inherited predisposition (1-5). Biological support for this association was provided by Gao et al. (6), who demonstrated loss of heterozygosity at the BRCA1 locus in hereditary prostate cancer cases. In an attempt to confirm these findings, several groups have looked at the incidence of deleterious BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in unselected series of patients with prostate cancer (7–11). The majority of these series have been performed in Ashkenazi populations because of the high frequency of three founder mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 in this group. Most series of unselected patients have concluded that deleterious BRCA mutations contribute little, if anything, to the incidence of prostate cancer in the Ashkenazi population. In the only series of unselected patients suggesting a weak association of BRCA mutations with prostate cancer risk, the effect was limited to BRCA1 mutation carriers (11). However, this finding was not confirmed in two recent family-based ascertainments limited to BRCA1 mutation carriers (12, 13). To better elucidate the impact of deleterious BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations on prostate cancer risk, we conducted a large casecontrol study comparing the incidence of deleterious BRCA1 and BRCA2 founder mutations in unselected Ashkenazi prostate cancer patients and compared this with the frequency of BRCA1 and BRCA2 founder mutations in a well-characterized control population.

Patients and Methods

DNA was extracted from lymphocytes of blood specimens from 251 individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry diagnosed with adenocarcinoma of the prostate who received care at the outpatient urology clinic at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center from April 2000 to September 2002. The samples were unselected for age or family history. Clinical and pathological records were reviewed to confirm the diagnosis of prostate cancer in all subjects. Once pathological diagnosis of prostate cancer was confirmed, the age of diagnosis was recorded, and all other identifying links were destroyed. The study design and anonymization method were approved by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Institutional Review Board.

DNA from case samples was analyzed for the three common Ashkenazi founder mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (185delAG and 5182insC in *BRCA1* and 6174delT in *BRCA2*) as described previously (14). Briefly, DNA was purified using the QiaAmp Blood DNA midi kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). DNA specimens were then analyzed for the presence of the Ashkenazi founder mutations using the following preparation of the mutation loci: *BRCA1*, 185delAG forward (5'-

Received 11/18/03; revised 1/13/04; accepted 1/27/04.

Grant support: Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program Grant DAMD17-03-1-0375 (N. Kauff), the Koodish Fellowship Fund, the Danzinger Foundation, the Frankel Foundation, and the Society of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note: T. Kirchhoff and N. Kauff contributed equally to this work. Requests for reprints: Kenneth Offit, Clinical Genetics Service, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, Box 192, New York, NY 10021. Phone: (212) 434-5150; Fax: (212) 434-5165; E-mail: offitk@mskcc.org.

Mutation	Case	Cases $(n=251)$		Controls $(n = 1472)$			
	N (%)	Mean age of mutation carriers (range) (yrs)	N (%)	Mean age of mutation carriers (range) (yrs)	Age- adjusted odds ratio	95% CI"	P
BRCAI	5 (2.0%)	68.4 (65-71)	12 (0.8%)	57.1 (33–78)	2.20	0.72-6.70	0.16
BRCA2	8 (3.2%)	64.0 (48–78)	16 (1.1%)	46.5 (29–65)	4.78	1.87-12.25	0.001
BRCA1 or BRCA2	13 (5.2%)	65.7	28 (1.9%)	51.0	3.41	1.64-7.06	0.00

Table 1 Frequency of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in cases and controls

CATTAATGCTATGCAGAAAAT) and 185delAG reverse (5'-CTTACTAGACATGTCTTTTCTTCCC) and 5382insC forward (5'-GTCCAAAGCGAGCAAGAGAATCTC) and 5382insC reverse (5'-GAATTCGAGACGGGAATCCAA); and BRCA2, 6174delT forward (TACTTGTGGGATTTTTAGCCAAGC) and 6174delT reverse (5'-GTGAGCTGGTCTGAATGTTCGTTA). PCR products were analyzed by RFLP, using modified sites (ACRES) for restriction enzymes TaqI (185delAG), DdeI (538insC), and BstXI [6174delT (15)]. Carriers were recognized by the comparison of test digest with digests of PCR analyses of previously verified BRCA1/2 carriers.

We then compared the incidence of founder mutations in cases with a control group that included 1472 Ashkenazi Jewish male volunteers without prostate cancer identified as part of the Washington Ashkenazi Jewish Study who had previously undergone genotyping for the three Ashkenazi founder mutations (3). The authors of this study kindly provided the primary data files after excluding cases with a prior diagnosis of prostate cancer. The odds ratio for prostate cancer in cases compared with controls was estimated using a logistic regression model, after adjusting for age by treating it as an additional covariate in the model (16). For stratified analyses, χ^2 tests of association and Fisher's exact tests were conducted. Exact confidence intervals were computed for odds ratios. SAS version 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for all analyses.

Results

Genotyping revealed that 13 of 251 cases (5%) were carriers of either a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation. Among the 13 carriers, 4 carriers had *BRCA1* 185delAG (1.6%), 1 carrier had *BRCA1* 5382insC (0.4%), and 8 carriers had *BRCA2* 6174delT (3.1%). Of the 1472 controls, 28 (1.9%) had either a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation: 9 (0.6%) had *BRCA1* 185delAG mutation; 3 (0.2%) had *BRCA1* 5382insC mutation; and 16 (1%) had a *BRCA2* 6174delT mutation.

Logistic regression analysis demonstrated that, after adjusting for age, the presence of an Ashkenazi founder mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 had a significant association with prostate cancer risk (odds ratio, 3.41; 95% confidence interval, 1.64–7.06; P=0.001). In the multivariate model, age was also a significant predictor of prostate cancer risk (P<0.001). When results were stratified by gene, BRCA2 mutations were associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer (odds ratio, 4.78; 95% confidence interval, 1.87–12.25; P=0.001). BRCA1 mutation carriers also appeared to have an increased risk of prostate cancer, but the association was not statistically significant (odds

ratio, 2.20; 95% confidence interval, 0.72-6.70; P = 0.16; Table 1).

Discussion

In the Ashkenazi Jewish population, the three founder mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 account for the vast majority of inherited breast and ovarian cancer families (17, 18). Despite evidence from several groups (2, 4) that prostate cancer was overrepresented in hereditary breast cancer families linked to BRCA2 (Table 2), no series of unselected Ashkenazi Jewish men with prostate cancer prior to the current series has been able to confirm this association (Table 3). For BRCA1-linked kindreds, the prior family-based series have shown either a higher (1), lower (12), or average (13) risk of prostate cancer (Table 2). In unselected series examining the impact of BRCA1 mutations on prostate cancer risk, four series did not demonstrate an association (7-10), and one population-based series observed a modest elevation in prostate cancer risk (95% confidence interval, 1.05-6.04; Ref. 11; Table 3). In contrast to these results, our study showed a significantly increased risk of prostate cancer in BRCA2 but not BRCA1 mutation carriers.

Several studies have suggested that *BRCA* mutations are predominately associated with an increased rate of early-onset prostate cancer (13, 19, 20). When our results were stratified by age, we were able to confirm that presence of a *BRCA* mutation was associated with a significantly increased risk for prostate after the age of 60 years (odds ratio, 3.71; 95% confidence interval, 1.25–11.65; P=0.01), but not for prostate cancer before the age of 60 years (odds ratio, 3.03; 95% confidence interval, 0.56–10.72; P=0.10). However, this analysis was limited by the very small number of men in the series (n=3) less than 60 years old with prostate cancer and a *BRCA* mutation.

Whereas our finding of increased BRCA2-associated risk for prostate cancer is consistent with predictions based on family-based ascertainments, one of the reasons that our results may differ from prior unselected series is that these studies were not powered to discern different risks in BRCA1 versus BRCA2 mutation carriers. Four of these series were limited to fewer than 200 cases. In one large series from Israel, the frequency of BRCA2 mutations in prostate cancer cases (1.5%) was less than half the 3.1% frequency seen in our series. This difference may be due to the inclusion of only incident cases in the Israeli series, whereas we included both incident and prevalent cases. It is possible that a survival bias in our series resulted from a BRCA2 mutation-associated survival advantage for patients with pros-

Page 28 of 31

^a CI, confidence interval.

Table 2 Association of prostate cancer with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations: family-based ascertainments

Genes/Study	Ascertainment	Analysis method	Relative risk	95% Confidence interval
BRCA1				
Ford et al., 1994 (1)	33 families with evidence of linkage to BRCA1	Prostate cancer incidence compared with population-specific rates	3.33	1.78–6.20
Brose et al., 2002 (12)	147 families with a BRCA1 mutation seen in a risk evaluation clinic	Prostate cancer incidence compared with population-specific rates	0.39	0.09–0.68
Thompson et al., 2002 (13)	699 families with a documented BRCA1 mutation	Prostate cancer incidence compared with population-specific rates	1.07	0.75–1.54
BRCA2				
BCLC ^a 1999 (2)	173 families selected for linkage analysis with a demonstrated <i>BRCA2</i> mutation	Prostate cancer incidence compared with population-specific rates	4.65	3.48–6.22
Sigurdsson et al., 1997 (4)	16 families in which a woman with breast cancer was demonstrated to have the Icelandic founder mutation 999del5 in BRCA2	Prostate cancer incidence in first-degree relatives of case patients compared with population-specific incidence	4.6	1.9-8.8
BRCA1 and BRCA2				
Warner et al., 1999 (5)	48 Ashkenazi Jewish breast cancer patients with a founder mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2	Prostate cancer incidence in 1st degree relatives compared with incidence in 1st degree relatives of healthy controls	3.36	1.497.56

^a BCLC, Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium.

tate cancer, leading to an over representation of the 6174delT allele in our largely prevalent cohort. Such an effect, as has been observed in *BRCA*-associated ovarian cancer (21–23), requires confirmation through prospective studies.

Another possible bias in our series could have occurred because the Washington Ashkenazi study was not population based but rather was composed of volunteers somewhat enriched for familial cancer history. If the frequency of founder mutations in unaffected individuals in the Washington Ashkenazi Study was different than the population frequency in Ashkenazi individuals in the greater New York area, this could have resulted in an over- or underestimation of the impact of BRCA

mutations on prostate cancer risk. We believe this is unlikely because the founder mutation frequency seen in the Washington Ashkenazi Study is consistent with other large series of Ashkenazi individuals from both the greater New York area and other regions of the United States (24, 25).

Different methodologies were used for genotyping cases and controls. This theoretically could have introduced a bias in favor of a significant finding if the genotyping method for cases was more sensitive than the method used for controls. We believe this is unlikely, however, because the restriction site analysis used to genotype the cases and the allele-specific oligonucleotide assay used to genotype the controls have both been

Table 3 Incidence of founder BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations in unselected series of Jewish patients with prostate cancer

Authors	N	Comparison group	Frequency of BRCA mutations in cases	Association of BRCA mutation and prostate cancer risk
Lehrer et al., 1998 (7) ^a	60	268 Ashkenazi Jewish women with sporadic breast cancer	0 (0%) BRCA1 0 (0%) BRCA2	No
Nastiuk et al., 1999 (8) ^a	83	Reported population incidence	1 (1.2%) BRCA1 2 (2.4%) BRCA2	No
Hubert et al., 1999 (9) ^a	87	87 Ashkenazi Jewish men without prostate cancer	2 (2.3%) BRCA1 1 (1.1%) BRCA2	No
Vazina et al., 2000 (10)	174	Reported population incidence	4 (2.3%) BRCA1 1 (0.6%) BRCA2	No
Giusti et al., 2003 (11)	940	472 Ashkenazi Jewish men without prostate cancer	16 (1.7%) BRCAI 14 (1.5%) BRCA2	BRCA1-No ^b BRCA2-No

^a Analysis limited to 185delAG mutation in BRCA1 and 6174delT mutation in BRCA2.

^b When control population was combined with 872 controls from the United States, presence of the 185delAG mutation in *BRCA1* was associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer. (odds ratio, 2.52; 95% confidence interval, 1.05–6.04).

shown in other studies to have a sensitivity for detecting the Ashkenazi founder mutations comparable with that of sequencing (22, 26, 27).

These results provide evidence that deleterious mutations in BRCA2 are associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer. Current recommendations for male carriers of BRCA mutations include prostate cancer screening with digital rectal examination and serum prostate-specific antigen level annually beginning at age 50 years (28). Whereas there was no significantly increased risk for early-onset prostate cancer in this series, this finding requires confirmation in a larger cohort. Additional family-based studies may also help clarify the relative penetrance of BRCA2 mutations for prostate cancer. Additionally, because a substantial proportion of familial prostate cancer is not linked to mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2, the search for other major prostate cancer predisposition genes will remain a high priority.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Washington Ashkenazi Study Investigators, including Drs. Jeffrey P. Struewing, Patricia Hartge, Shalom Wacholder, Lawrence C. Brody, and Margaret A. Tucker, who kindly provided the raw data files, including the age- and gender-specific rates needed for the analyses in this study.

References

- Ford D, Easton DF, Bishop DT, Narod SA, Goldgar DE. Risks of cancer in BRCA1-mutation carriers. Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Lancet 1994;343:692-5.
- 2. Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Cancer risks in BRCA2 mutation carriers. J Natl Cancer Inst (Bethesda) 1999;91:1310-6.
- 3. Struewing JP, Hartge P, Wacholder S, et al. The risk of cancer associated with specific mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 among Ashkenazi Jews. N Engl J Med 1997;20:1401-8.
- 4. Sigurdsson S, Thorlacius S, Tomasson J, et al. BRCA2 mutation in Icelandic prostate cancer patients. J Mol Med 1997;75:758-61.
- 5. Warner E, Foulkes W, Goodwin P, et al. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations in unselected Ashkenazi Jewish women with breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst (Bethesda) 1999;91: 1241-7.
- 6. Gao X, Zacharek A, Salkowski A, et al. Loss of heterozygosity of the BRCA1 and other loci on chromosome 17q in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res 1995;55:1002-5.
- 7. Lehrer S, Fodor F, Stock RG, et al. Absence of 185delAG mutation of the BRCA1 gene and 6174delT mutation of the BRCA2 gene in Ashkenazi Jewish men with prostate cancer. Br J Cancer 1998;78: 771-3.
- 8. Nastiuk KL, Mansukhani M, Terry MB, et al. Common mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 do not contribute to early prostate cancer in Jewish men. Prostate 1999;40:172-7.
- 9. Hubert A, Peretz T, Manor O, et al. The Jewish Ashkenazi founder mutations in the BRCA1/BRCA2 genes are not found at an increased frequency in Ashkenazi patients with prostate cancer. Am J Hum Genet 1999;65:921-4.

- 10. Vazina A, Baniel J, Yaacobi Y, et al. The rate of the founder Jewish mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 in prostate cancer patients in Israel. Br J Cancer 2000;83:463-6.
- 11. Giusti RM, Rutter JL, Duray PH, et al. A twofold increase in BRCA mutation related prostate cancer among Ashkenazi Israelis is not associated with distinctive histopathology. J Med Genet 2003;40:787–92.
- 12. Brose MS, Rebbeck TR, Calzone KA, et al. Cancer risk estimates for BRCA1 mutation carriers identified in a risk evaluation program. J Natl Cancer Inst (Bethesda) 2002;94:1365-72.
- 13. Thompson D, Easton DF, Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Cancer incidence in BRCA1 mutation carriers. J Natl Cancer Inst (Bethesda) 2002;94:1358-65.
- 14. Kirchhoff T, Satagopan JM, Kauff ND, et al. Frequency of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in unselected Ashkenazi Jewish patients with colorectal cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst (Bethesda) 2004;96:68-70.
- 15. Nafa K, Angell J, Bonavita L, et al. Direct detection of common mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes by amplified created restriction enzyme site (ACRES) [abstract]. Am J Hum Genet 1999;65: A58.
- 16. Schlesselman JJ. Case control studies: design, conduct, analysis. New York: Oxford University Press; 1982.
- 17. Kauff ND, Perez-Segura P, Robson ME, et al. Incidence of non-founder BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in high risk Ashkenazi breast and ovarian cancer families. J Med Genet 2002;39:611-4.
- 18. Frank TS, Deffenbaugh AM, Reid JE, et al. Clinical characteristics of individuals with germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2: analysis of 10,000 individuals. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:1480-90.
- 19. Gayther SA, de Foy KA, Harrington P, et al. The frequency of germ-line mutations in the breast cancer predisposition genes BRCA1 and BRCA2 in familial prostate cancer. The Cancer Research Campaign/British Prostate Group United Kingdom Familial Prostate Cancer Study Collaborators. Cancer Res 2000;60:4513-8.
- 20. Edwards SM, Kote-Jarai Z, Meitz J, et al. Two percent of men with early-onset prostate cancer harbor germline mutations in the BRCA2 gene. Am J Hum Genet 2003;72:1-12.
- 21. Rubin SC, Benjamin I, Behbakht K, et al. Clinical and pathological features of ovarian cancer in women with germ-line mutations of BRCA1. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1413-6.
- 22. Boyd J, Sonoda Y, Federici MG, et al. Clinicopathologic features of BRCA-linked and sporadic ovarian cancer. J Am Med Assoc 2000;283: 2260-5.
- 23. Ben David Y, Chetrit A, Hirsh-Yechezkel G, et al. Effect of BRCA mutations on the length of survival in epithelial ovarian tumors. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:463-6.
- 24. Roa BB, Boyd AA, Volcik K, Richards CS. Ashkenazi Jewish population frequencies for common mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nat Genet 1996;2:185-7.
- 25. Oddoux C, Struewing JP, Clayton CM, et al. The carrier frequency of the BRCA2 6174delT mutation among Ashkenazi Jewish individuals is approximately 1%. Nat Genet 1996;2:188-90.
- 26. Fodor FH, Weston A, Bleiweiss IJ, et al. Frequency and carrier risk associated with common BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish breast cancer patients. Am J Hum Genet 1998;63:45-51.
- 27. Rhei E, Bogomolniy F, Federici MG, et al. Molecular genetic characterization of BRCA1- and BRCA2-linked hereditary ovarian cancers. Cancer Res 1998;58:3193-6.
- 28. Burke W, Daly M, Garber J, et al. Recommendations for follow-up care of individuals with an inherited predisposition to cancer. II. BRCA1 and BRCA2. Cancer Genetics Studies Consortium. J Am Med Assoc 1997;277:997–1003.



Incidence of Ovarian Cancer in BRCA-Negative Hereditary Breast Cancer Families

,A

Noah D. Kauff ', Tessa Cigler', Karen E. Hurley ''. Helen Huang', Hannah Rapaport', Eve Wadsworth', Mark E. Robson ''. Larry Norton', Richard R. Barakat', Kenneth Offit Clinical Genetics Service and Breast Cancer Medicine Service, Department of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, and 'Gynecology Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Minations in BRC11 and BRCU2 account for the majority of hereigacy has the strategies and control (BRC) and half of hereigacy hasts charge (BRC) Sequence (BRC) Sequence analysis, browners is known to be incompletely penaltive for detecting BRC1 mutations associated with RBCO-RBC Execuse of this, many centers recommend consideration of varian cancer (BrC-browners) and the sequence of the strategies of the sequence o

METHODS: Pedgrees from all women who underwent BRCI mustator bestings if the Chical Genericis Service as MSCC. from 81/96 through 7/31/02 and consented to prospective follows: we were reviewed, 255 sub-dustate with 11 kein to else-ferious BRCI mustation on either founder mustation besting or full sequencing; and 21 whose family history included 32 breast cancers in a single lineage with one being diagnosed at 50 were ferritified and sent a structured questionnaise safing about cocurrence of new carces, lamber of cancers exceed was ackellated from the STBS dustabase five properties and the Competitor, Ilmon Registry Study of Miltible Primary Cancers. Observed versus expected concers were compared using the Exact Poisson Test.

MEDULS: 177 (60%) individuals returned a questionwaire. At Deselve, 77% had a present aircray of water cancer and a mean of 4.2 breast cancer in the landerd 22 kindreds also had 21 kindred as the order of 4.2 kindreds also had 21 kindreds also had 21 kindred as mean 3.6 years follow-up, a stope follopian tube cancer was reported in an individual with a BRCH valent of unclass of uncertain significance. When the 11 patients with such varient were excluded, 8 breast, 4 non-indivious a skik, and one each of pimplema, OAL uteries automa and thymidicance were reported during 550 years from years of follow-up, Beast cancer was each significantly more than expected (6 a. 32 case; p. a. Dubs.). No ovarian cancers were observed (no 22 expected (p=0.76).

CONCLUSIONS: Inchividuals from HBC kinderds without a demonstrable BRCA mutation did not have an increased risk of oratian cancer if confirmed, these findings may have important implications for cancer screening in such kinderds.

- Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 account for greater than 95% of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer families and approximately 50% of site specific hereditary breast families.
- Sequenced based mutation detection approaches, however, will only detect defeterious BRCA mutations in approximately 63–83% of families whose cancer predisposition demonstrate linkage to either the BRCAT or BRCAZ loci.¹⁴
- Recause of incomplete sensitivity of BRCA mutation that the many centers recommend consideration of oratin cancer screening or other risk-reduction strategies for women from hereditary breast cancer families who have not had a deleterious mutation identified.
- In order to clarify this issue, we undertook a pilot study to prospectively evaluate the inclotice of both breast and ovarian cancer in a large series of women from herediany breast cancer families in which no detections BRCAT or BRCAZ mutation was identified.

Methods

Results

Pedigrees from all women who underwent BRCA mutation testing from 8/1/1995 through 7/31/02 and consented to prospective follow-up were reviewed.

171 (60%) Individual returned a questionnaire.

Demographics of the study cohort are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Cohort Demographics

- Probands were eligible for analysis if:
- Proband did not have a personal history of ovarian cancer.
- Family history included 23 breast cancers in a single lineage with at least one of the breast cancer occurring prior to 50 years of age.
- No deleterious mutation was identified on full sequence analysis of both BRCA! and BRCA. For patients without any non-Ashkensal heritage, negative testing for the three common founder mutations was suffi-
- 285 individuals that met eligibility criteria were sent a structured questionnale that ascertained incidence of new cancers. Expected number of cancers was calculated from the Sere database for 1972-2009 and the Connecticut Tumor Registry Study of Multiple Primary Cancers.

Kindreds with Variant of Uncertain Significance

Observed vs. expected numbers of cancers were compared using the Exact Poisson Test.

vs. Expected 674 Incidence of Observe

- Incidence of breast and ovarian cancer observed vs. expected from SEER.
- 8 cases of breast cancer observed vs. 1.37 expected (p < 0.001)
- O cases of ovarian/fallopian tube cancer vs. 0.14 expected (p = 0.87)

\$1.0 (21.7-87.5) 429 (14.4-81.4)

Mean Age at Results (range) Mean Follow-up in Months Personal History of Breast

(range)

After correcting for the increased expected incl-dence of second cancers using data from the Connecticar Tumor Registry Study of Multiple Primary Cancers.

131 (76.6%)

Mean Number of Breast Cancers in Kindred (range) Kindreds with Ovarlan Cancer

- 8 cases of breast cancer observed vs. 3.9 expected (p = 0.045)
- 0 cases of ovarian cancer observed vs. 0.25 expected (p = 0.78)

22 (12.9%) 11 (6.4%)

- Limiting the analysis to the 140 families with site specific breast cancer (no ovarian cancer in the lineage):
 - 7 cases of breast cancer observed vs. 3.33

expected (p = 0.05)

0 cases of ovarian cancer observed vs.0.21 expected (p = 0.81)

Page 31 of 31

When the 11 patient with variants of uncertain sig-nificance were excluded, 8 breast cancers, 4 non-melanoma skin cancers, and one each of endome-trial stromal succona, CML, Imphoma and thyroid cancer was reported during 567 women years of

A single fallopian tube cancer was diagnosed in a woman with a BRCA? variant of uncertain signiff-

New Cancers

cance 36 months after receiving results.

Conclusions

- In this pilot analysis, inclividuals from BRCA-nega-tive hereditary breast cancer families did not have an increased risk of ovarian cancer.
- Even after accounting for increased risk conferred by a personal history of breast cancer, BRC4-regative members of hereditary breast cancer families have an increased risk of breast cancer compared to population derived rates.
- If these results are confirmed in larger studies, these findings may have important implications for cancer screening in such kindreds.

Acknowledginess 1825

This work was partially supported by the Department of Defence Breat Cancer Research Program (DAMD17-03-1-035 to NLDK.), the Koodish Eellowship Fund, the Darzinger Foundation, the Flanket Foundation, the Society of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

References

- J Boyst Molecular genetics of hereditary overtan cancer, hr.5 C Rubin and G P Sutton, Editors, Overtan concer, Lippincost Williams & Wilkins, Makelejnia (2001), pp. 3-17.
- N Rahman and M R Stratton. The genetics of breast cancer susce bility. Annu Rev Genet. 1998; 32:95-121.
- Ford D, Easton Df, Stratton M, et al. Genetic heterogeneity and pene-trance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer fam lles. Am J Hum Genet. 1998; 62,876-89.
- Unger MA, Nedhanson KI, Calzone K, et al, Scinening for genomic rampregenents in favallies with bests and outsin cancer clearinfles RECAI mustations previously missed by conformation-sensitive get electrophoresis or sequencing, Am J Hum Genet. 2000; 67:841-50.